



30 AUGUST 1999

Civil Engineering

***ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
COMMITTEES***

COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY

NOTICE: This publication is available digitally on the AFDPO WWW site at:<http://afpubs.hq.af.mil>.

OPR: HQ AMC/CEVP (Lt Col Gogan)
Supersedes AMCS1, 1 April 1996

Certified by: HQ AMC/CEV (Lt Col Baldetti)
Pages: 14
Distribution: F

AFI 32-7005, 25 February 1994, is supplemented as follows: This supplement establishes requirements for environmental reporting for all AMC installation Environmental Protection Committees. This publication does not apply to Air National Guard (ANG) or United States Air Force Reserve (USAFR) units and members.

4.3.1. (Added) Assess the environmental program quarterly, using the Environmental Status of Resources and Training System (ESORTS) criteria at attachment 1. ESORTS provides commanders with an environmental report that quickly identifies “broken” or “problem” areas in need of attention. It measures quantifiable areas which indicate the status of the wing’s environmental program. The ESORTS report integrates Air Force environmental goals and environmental protection committee (EPC) metrics.

4.3.1.1. (Added) Submit an ESORTS report, (RCS: AMC-CEV(Q)9905, Environmental Status of Resources and Training system (ESCORTS) Report) validated by the EPC chairperson, to HQ AMC, no later than the last work day of the month following the end of each calendar quarter. This report is designated emergency status code C2 – Continue reporting during emergency conditions, normal precedence. Submit data requirements in this category as prescribed, or as soon as possible after submission of priority reports.

4.3.1.1.1. (Added) The ESORTS report shall consist of ratings and narratives that explain any ratings below E-1. The formats for reporting the ratings and narratives are at attachments 2 and 3, respectively.

4.3.1.1.2. (Added) The EPC chairperson can challenge the ratings of each of the four environmental pillars with the commander’s assessment (attachment 3). Pillars ratings will mirror the lowest program rating. If any program rating within a pillar is rated E-2, the overall pillar rating is E-2. The EPC chairperson may support a different rating based on the strength assigned to a contributing rating criteria or other factors. The commander would explain the challenge in the commander’s assessment narrative outlined in Attachment 2 to this supplement.

4.3.1.2. (Added) ESORTS assess each of the four pillars of an environmental program: restoration, compliance, prevention, and conservation. Pillar ratings are comprised of program ratings and contributing ratings.

4.3.1.2.1. (Added). Program ratings typically provide the primary assessment of an environmental pillar. Contributing ratings generally measure additional areas that are important to a particular pillar. These contributing areas help identify problems and issues that need attention before they degrade the pillar rating and the wing's overall environmental program.

4.3.1.2.2. The ESORTS ratings are in Table 1.1.(Added).

Table 1.1. (Added) ESORTS Ratings.

<u>RATING</u>	<u>DEFINITION</u>
E-1 (Green)	Meets Standards
E-2 (Blue)	Minor Problems
E-3 (Yellow)	Major Problems
E-4 (Red)	Program Failure

Attachment 1 (Added)

ESORTS CRITERIA

A1.1. ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROGRAM

A1.1.1. PROGRAM RATING CRITERIA

A1.1.1.1. COMPLYING WITH LEGAL AGREEMENT

- A1.1.1.1.1. E-1. On schedule to meet milestone(s) specified in formal legal agreement.
- A1.1.1.1.2. E-2. Behind schedule, but a renegotiation/extension was requested/underway.
- A1.1.1.1.3. E-3. Behind schedule and the wing has not requested a renegotiation/extension.
- A1.1.1.1.4. E-4. Missed milestones(s).

NOTE:

Although the AF and DoD are moving toward using restoration advisory boards and relative risk to prioritize cleanups, we must continue to comply with agreements, such as federal facility agreements, until we can renegotiate schedules. Missed milestone(s) can subject the Air Force to stipulated fines and penalties by the end of FY06.

A1.1.1.2. CLEANING UP HIGH RELATIVE RISK SITES BY FY2007

- A1.1.1.2.1. E-1. On schedule to have cleanup action in place at all high relative risk sites by end FY07.
- A1.1.1.2.2. E-2. Behind schedule 1-2 years. Cleanup action will be in place at all high relative risk sites by end FY09.
- A1.1.1.2.3. E-3. Behind schedule by 3-4 years. Cleanup action will be in place at all high relative risk sites by end FY11.
- A1.1.1.2.4. E-4. Behind schedule by more than 4 years. Cleanup action will not be in place at all high relative risk sites by end FY11.

A1.1.2. CONTRIBUTING RATING CRITERIA

A1.1.2.1. STATUS OF INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM (IRP) MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN (MAP)

- A1.1.2.1.1. E-1. MAP is no more than 12 months old.
- A1.1.2.1.2. E-2. MAP is no more than 15 months old.
- A1.1.2.1.3. E-3. MAP is no more than 18 months old.
- A1.1.2.1.4. E-4. MAP is more than 18 months old.

NOTE:

IRP guidance requires an annual MAP revision.

A1.1.2.2. OBLIGATING FUNDS FOR HIGH RELATIVE RISK SITES

- A1.1.2.2.1. E-1. 70% or more of ERA funds obligated for high relative risk sites.

A1.1.2.2.2. E-2. At least 60% of ERA funds obligated for high relative risk sites.

A1.1.2.2.3. E-3. At least 50% of ERA funds obligated for high relative risk sites.

A1.1.2.2.4. E-4. Less than 50% of ERA funds obligated for high relative risk sites.

NOTE:

Our focus must be **on obligating current year funds for** high relative risk sites to reduce risk to the public and/or the environment. Long-term operations (LTO) and long-term maintenance (LTM) projects are considered equivalent to high relative risk projects. If an installation does not have sufficient high relative risk/LTO/LTM projects to fully execute its ERA allocation and the command does not reduce that allocation, medium or low relative risk projects will be considered equivalent to high risk projects. This guidance does not apply if an installation chooses to execute a medium or low relative risk project in lieu of a high relative risk/LTO/LTM project.

A1.2. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

A1.2.1. PROGRAM RATING CRITERIA

A1.2.1.1. ELIMINATING OPEN ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS (OEAs)

A1.2.1.1.1. E-1. 0 points.

A1.2.1.1.2. E-2. 1 point.

A1.2.1.1.3. E-3. 2 points.

A1.2.1.1.4. E-4. Over 2 points.

NOTES:

1. Points:

1.1 One (1) point for each OEA received during the quarter.

1.2 One (1) point for each OEA open more than 90 days at the end of the quarter.

2. An OEA is any formal regulatory documentation identifying a violation (see AMC/CC memo, 20 Aug 97, and HQ AMC/CEV web site).

A1.2.1.2. MONITORING HAZARDOUS WASTE (HW) OPERATIONS

A1.2.1.2.1. E-1. Less than 3 findings.

A1.2.1.2.2. E-2. 3-6 findings.

A1.2.1.2.3. E-3. 6-10 findings.

A1.2.1.2.4. E-4. More than 10 findings.

NOTES:

1. The installation's hazardous waste program manager must conduct a no-notice, random compliance inspection of 25% of all hazardous waste storage sites each quarter. Each observation of non-compliance with any applicable federal and state HW regulation that would result in producing a major HW ECAMP finding will count as a single finding. Hazardous waste storage sites include initial accumulation points, 90-day accumulation sites, and RCRA Part-B Permitted Treatment,

Storage, and Disposal Facility (TSDF) identified in the installation's Hazardous Waste Management Plan.

2. Each open major internal or external ECAMP HW finding will count as a single finding. Add the total number of open major ECAMP HW findings to the total number of HW findings observed during the compliance inspection. Use the total number of findings to determine your installation's rating.

A1.2.1.3. CURRENCY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS

A1.2.1.3.1. E-1. All permits current.

A1.2.1.3.2. E-2. One or more permits in renewal phase pending action by regulator.

A1.2.1.3.3. E-3. One or more permits in renewal phase pending action by base.

A1.2.1.3.4. E-4. One or more permits not current.

NOTES:

1. Permits include Title V air permits, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits, solid waste landfill permits, hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal permits, and others as required.
2. For Title V air permits only, the permit is considered current for ESORTS reporting after the application has been submitted to the regulator.

A1.2.1.4. CLOSING ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (ECAMP) FINDINGS

A1.2.1.4.1. E-1. At least 95% or more finding closure rate.

A1.2.1.4.2. E-2. At least 85% finding closure rate.

A1.2.1.4.3. E-3. At least 75% finding closure rate.

A1.2.1.4.4. E-4. Less than 75% finding closure rate.

NOTES:

1. The finding closure rate is the percentage of findings scheduled to close during the quarter which closed on or ahead of schedule. Finding closure dates that slip count as unclosed in the current quarter. In addition, a new closure date counts in the new quarter that the finding is scheduled to close.
2. Findings are significant, major and minor ECAMP findings. They do not include best management practices (BMPs) or environmental practice issues (EPIs).

A1.2.2. CONTRIBUTING RATING CRITERIA

A1.2.2.1. OBLIGATING EC OPERATIONS AND SERVICES (O&S) FUNDS. See Table A1.1.

Table A1.1. Obligating EC Operations and Services (O&S) Funds.

	<u>1st Qtr</u>	<u>2d Qtr</u>	<u>3d Qtr</u>	<u>4th Qtr</u>
E-1	25%	50%	75%	100%
E-2	20-24%	40-49%	70-74%	99%
E-3	10-19%	30-39%	50-70%	98%
E-4	<10%	<30%	<50%	<98%

NOTE: Percentage calculated by dividing obligation (as reported by HQ AMC/FM) by the amount of funds received by the end of the previous quarter. Do not count civilian pay. The total amount of funds equals the initial distribution plus the cumulative amount of any additional funds issued. Reporting for the first quarter is based on the initial distribution.

A1.2.2.2. EXECUTING LEVEL I PROJECTS

A1.2.2.2.1. E-1. All Environmental Compliance funds for Level I projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

A1.2.2.2.2. E-2. At least 75% of Environmental Compliance funds for Level I projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

A1.2.2.2.3. E-3. At least 50% of Environmental Compliance funds for Level I projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

A1.2.2.2.4. E-4. Less than 50% of Environmental Compliance funds for Level I projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

A1.2.2.3. CURRENCY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE MANAGEMENT PLANS

A1.2.2.3.1. E-1. All applicable plans complete and current.

A1.2.2.3.2. E-2. At least 85% of applicable plans complete and current.

A1.2.2.3.3. E-3. At least 75% of applicable plans complete and current.

A1.2.2.3.4. E-4. Less than 75% of applicable plans not complete or in need of an update.

NOTES:

1. Plans include Spill Prevention, Control & Countermeasures (SPCC), Oil & Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency, Hazardous Waste Management, Recoverable & Waste Petroleum Management, Polychlorinated Biphenyl Management (N/A if PCB-free), Asbestos Management, Asbestos Operations, Facility Response Plans, and all other necessary environmental plans.
2. Current means the EPC reviewed the plans within the past 12 months. For the SPCC, a registered engineer must have also reviewed and certified the plan within the past 3 years.

A1.2.2.4. PROVIDING SPILL RESPONSE EQUIPMENT

A1.2.2.4.1. E-1. 100% availability of operational equipment IAW established plans.

A1.2.2.4.2. E-2. At least 90% availability of operational equipment IAW established plans.

A1.2.2.4.3. E-3. At least 80% availability of operational equipment IAW established plans.

A1.2.2.4.4. E-4. Less than 80% availability of operational equipment IAW established plans.

A1.2.2.5. PROVIDING SPILL RESPONSE PERSONNEL

- A1.2.2.5.1. E-1. 100% availability of qualified personnel IAW established plans.
- A1.2.2.5.2. E-2. At least 90% availability of qualified personnel IAW established plans.
- A1.2.2.5.3. E-3. At least 80% availability of qualified personnel IAW established plans.
- A1.2.2.5.4. E-4. Less than 80% availability of qualified personnel IAW established plans.

NOTE:

Spill response personnel are identified in AFI 32-4022, Section 4.1. They should include on scene coordinator and alternates, base civil engineer, senior fire officials, spill response team members, fire protection personnel, civil engineer readiness personnel, explosive ordinance disposal personnel, medical personnel, and security police.

A1.2.2.6. TRAINING HAZARDOUS WASTE WORKERS.

- A1.2.2.6.1. E-1. 85% or more of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.6.2. E-2. At least 70% of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.6.3. E-3. At least 55% of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.6.4. E-4. Less than 55% of workers have completed appropriate training.

NOTE:

A hazardous waste worker is defined as an initial accumulation point manager, 90-day accumulation site manager and subordinates, RCRA Part-B permitted treatment, storage, and disposal facility managers and subordinates, and the installation's hazardous waste program manager. This includes quality assurance evaluators.

A1.2.2.7. TRAINING ASBESTOS WORKERS

- A1.2.2.7.1. E-1. 85% or more of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.7.2. E-2. At least 70% of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.7.3. E-3. At least 55% of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.7.4. E-4. Less than 55% of workers have completed appropriate training.

NOTE:

An asbestos worker is government or contractor employee who works with asbestos or as part of their job is required to be in areas containing asbestos as defined in 40 CFR 61.142-154, 40 CFR 763.90-94, 29 CFR 1910.1001, and 29 CFR 1926.1101. This includes asbestos abatement team members, team supervisors, and quality assurance evaluators.

A1.2.2.8. TRAINING LEAD-BASED PAINT WORKERS

- A1.2.2.8.1. E-1. 85% or more of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.8.2. E-2. At least 70% of workers have completed appropriate training.
- A1.2.2.8.3. E-3. At least 55% of workers have completed appropriate training.

A1.2.2.8.4. E-4. Less than 55% of workers have completed appropriate training.

NOTE:

A lead-based paint (LBP) worker is government or contractor employee who works with LBP or as part of their job is required to be in areas containing LBP as defined in 29 CFR 1926.62, AFOSH and OSHA standards, and the Toxic Substance Control Act, Title 4, "Lead Exposure Reduction." This includes LBP abatement team members, team supervisors, and quality assurance evaluators.

A1.3. POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM PROGRAM RATING CRITERIA

A1.3.1.1. REDUCING HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL

A1.3.1.1.1. E-1. Met calendar year goal.

A1.3.1.1.2. E-2. Met at least 85% of calendar year goal.

A1.3.1.1.3. E-3. Met at least 70% of calendar year goal.

A1.3.1.1.4. E-4. Met less than 70% of calendar year goal.

NOTES:

1. The goal is to reach a cumulative reduction from a CY92 baseline of 42% in CY98 and 50% in CY99. The wing will continue to report this criterion after CY99 based on a 50% calendar year goal.
2. To calculate the quarterly percentage, divide your quarterly cumulative value by the appropriate portion of the baseline value:
 1. First Quarter 25% of the baseline
 2. Second Quarter 50 % of the baseline
 3. Third Quarter 75% of the baseline
 4. Fourth Quarter 100% of the baseline

A1.3.1.2. REDUCING MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

A1.3.1.2.1. E-1. Met calendar year goal.

A1.3.1.2.2. E-2. Met at least 85% of calendar year goal.

A1.3.1.2.3. E-3. Met at least 70% of calendar year goal.

A1.3.1.2.4. E-4. Met less than 70% of calendar year goal.

NOTES:

1. The goal is to reach a non-hazardous municipal solid waste (MSW) diversion rate of 40% by the end of CY05. This goal does not include construction and demolition debris.
2. Diversion rate = (recycled MSW + composted MSW) / total MSW.
3. For each quarter goal, interpolate between the below values. CY year-end goals are:

1. CY99 28% diversion rate CY03 36% diversion rate
2. CY00 30% diversion rate CY04 38% diversion rate
3. CY01 32% diversion rate CY05 40% diversion rate
4. CY02 34% diversion rate

A1.3.1.3. REDUCING PESTICIDE USE

- A1.3.1.3.1. E-1. Met fiscal year goal.
- A1.3.1.3.2. E-2. Met at least 85% of fiscal year goal.
- A1.3.1.3.3. E-3. Met at least 70% of fiscal year goal.
- A1.3.1.3.4. E-4. Met less than 70% of fiscal year goal.

NOTES:

1. The goal is to reach a cumulative reduction from an FY93 baseline of 36% in FY98, 43% in FY99, and 50% in FY00. The wing will continue to report this criterion after FY00 based on a 50% fiscal year goal.
2. To calculate the quarterly percentage, divide your quarterly cumulative value by the appropriate portion of the baseline value:
 1. First Quarter 25% of the baseline
 2. Second Quarter 50 % of the baseline
 3. Third Quarter 75% of the baseline
 4. Fourth Quarter 100% of the baseline

A1.3.2. CONTRIBUTING RATING CRITERIA

A1.3.2.1. OBLIGATING POLLUTION PREVENTION FUNDS OPERATIONS AND SERVICES FUNDS. See Table A1.2.

Table A2.1. Obligating Pollution Prevention Funds Operations and Services Funds

	<u>1st Qtr</u>	<u>2d Qtr</u>	<u>3d Qtr</u>	<u>4th Qtr</u>
E-1	≥30%	≥60%	≥90%	100%
E-2	20-29%	50-59%	75-89%	99%
E-3	10-19%	40-49%	50-74%	98%
E-4	<10%	<40%	<50%	<98%

NOTE: Percentage calculated by dividing the amount obligated (as reported by HQ AMC/FM) by the approved program for each quarter.

A1.3.2.2. EXECUTING POLLUTION PREVENTION PROJECTS

A1.3.2.2.1. E-1. All funds for Pollution Prevention projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

A1.3.2.2.2. E-2. At least 75% of funds for Pollution Prevention projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

A1.3.2.2.3. E-3. At least 50% of funds for Pollution Prevention projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

A1.3.2.2.4. E-4. Less than 50% of funds for Pollution Prevention projects awarded within 120 days of HQ AMC's authority to advertise.

NOTE:

The clock starts when HQ AMC provides authority to advertise. The base should award projects within 120 days of the authority to advertise. If you have no authority to advertise, rating is E-1.

A1.3.2.3. OBTAINING PESTICIDE APPLICATOR CERTIFICATION

A1.3.2.3.1. E-1. 100% of pesticide applicators certified.

A1.3.2.3.2. E-2. At least 90% of pesticide applicators certified.

A1.3.2.3.3. E-3. At least 80% of pesticide applicators certified.

A1.3.2.3.4. E-4. Less than 80% of pesticide applicators certified.

NOTE:

Applies to all applicators who apply pesticides while working in the pest management career field, on the golf course, or in grounds maintenance. Only personnel who apply pesticides as part of their duties require certification. Contractor must provide proof of applicator certification.

A1.3.2.4. CURRENCY OF PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN

A1.3.2.4.1. E-1. Plan is complete/current and reviewed by HQ AMC/CEVQ.

A1.3.2.4.2. E-2. Plan is complete but requires an update.

A1.3.2.4.3. E-3. Plan is incomplete.

A1.3.2.4.4. E-4. Wing has no plan.

A1.3.2.5. SPECIAL INTEREST ITEM: IMPLEMENTING THE HAZARDOUS MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PROCESS.

NOTES:

This special interest item will become an ESORTS rating criteria in FY2000/1Q. The wing tracks and reports answers to each question concerning the Hazardous Material Management Process (HMMP) and makes appropriate comments. These questions are:

1. Does the wing HMMP team meet on a quarterly basis, and do LG, SE, SGPB, and CE participate?
2. Does the wing have an HMMP operating instruction published and/or local supplement to AFI 32-7086 published?
3. Do all wing tenants participate in the HMMP?

4. Do all installation sources of supply (SOS) that store and issue hazardous material (i.e., COCESS, COPARS, etc.) have EMIS connectivity, and are they tracking data as required by AFI 32-7086, Hazardous Material Management Process?
5. Is the HAZMART updating EMIS for all local purchase hazardous material (HAZMAT) requests including IMPAC and AF Form 9 purchases?
6. Are Non-appropriated Funds (NAF) and Morale, Welfare, and Recreation (MWR) HAZMAT purchases tracked by EMIS?
7. an the wing provide a current inventory of the EPA-17 items and the associated annual report from EMIS?
8. Can the wing provide a current inventory of ODCs and the associated annual report from the EMIS?
9. Does the HAZMART have a free issue/redistribution program established and do all sources of supply participate in this program?
10. Are approved AF Forms 3952 on hand for each HAZMAT used on the installation?

NOTE:

Calculation: The number of the 10 questions above answered “yes” determines your ESORTS rating. Nine of ten questions answered yes is E-1, eight is E-2, seven is E-3, less than seven is E-4.

A1.3.2.6. SPECIAL INTEREST ITEM: MINIMIZING HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATED FROM EXPIRED SHELF LIFE MATERIAL. E-1 through E-4 TBD.

NOTE:

This special interest item will become an ESORTS rating criteria in FY2000/1Q. The wing tracks and reports how many pounds of hazardous waste were generated this quarter from expired shelf life material and makes appropriate comments.

A1.4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM

A1.4.1. PROGRAM RATING CRITERIA

A1.4.1.1. TRACKING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS PROCESS (EIAP)

A1.4.1.1.1. E-1. All EIAP documentation approved 30 days or more prior to pending action.

A1.4.1.1.2. E-2. Required EIAP documentation approved less than 30 days prior to pending action.

A1.4.1.1.3. E-3. Required EIAP documentation delaying action.

A1.4.1.1.4. E-4. Required EIAP documentation waiting to be approved, documentation in progress, or documentation not yet started after action has started.

NOTE:

EIAP documentation includes AF Forms 813, environmental assessments, environmental impact statements, environmental baseline surveys, and environmental reviews and studies that would impact the proposed action. Pending action is any action that will likely proceed to completion as determined by the base or appropriate authority (i.e., AMC or AF).

A1.4.1.2. CURRENCY OF CULTURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN

A1.4.1.2.1. E-1. Plan is complete, validated by HQ AMC/CEVP, and current.

A1.4.1.2.2. E-2. Plan is complete but requires update.

A1.4.1.2.3. E-3. Plan is incomplete.

A1.4.1.2.4. E-4. Wing has no plan.

NOTE:

The plan must be validated by HQ AMC/CEVP once every 5 years. The plan must also be reviewed and updated by the wing cultural resources manager once a year.

A1.4.1.3. CURRENCY OF INTEGRATED NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN

A1.4.1.3.1. E-1. Plan is complete and validated by HQ AMC/CEVP.

A1.4.1.3.2. E-2. Plan is complete but requires an update.

A1.4.1.3.3. E-3. Plan is incomplete.

A1.7.1.3.4. E-4. Wing has no plan.

NOTE:

The plan must be validated by HQ AMC/CEVP once every 5 years. The plan must also be reviewed and updated by the wing natural resources manager once a year.

A1.4.2. CONTRIBUTING RATING CRITERIA

A1.4.2.1. CURRENCY OF CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEYS

A1.4.2.1.1. E-1. Survey, inventory, and map are complete and current for all acreage and buildings.

A1.4.2.1.2. E-2. Survey, inventory, and map are complete but requires an update.

A1.4.2.1.3. E-3. Survey, inventory, and map are incomplete.

A1.4.2.1.4. E-4. Wing has no survey, inventory, or map.

NOTE:

The cultural resources manager must keep the Archaeological Survey and Historic Building Inventory current. The survey is current if either HQ AMC/CEVP or the SHPO has concurred with the survey.

A1.4.2.2. CURRENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES SURVEYS

A1.4.2.2.1. E-1. Survey and map are complete and current for all potential acreage.

A1.4.2.2.2. E-2. Survey and map is complete but requires an update.

A1.4.2.2.3. E-3. Survey and map is incomplete.

A1.4.2.2.4. E-4. Wing has no survey and map.

NOTE:

The cultural resources manager must keep the Wetland Survey, Threatened And Endangered Species (TES) Survey, Soil Survey, Timber Survey, and Biological Opinions current. The survey is current if HQ AMC/CEVP has concurred with the survey.

A1.4.2.3. TRAINING CONSERVATION RESOURCE PERSONNEL

A1.4.2.3.1. E-1. Appropriate personnel trained in all three fields.

A1.4.2.3.2. E-2. Appropriate personnel trained in two of three fields.

A1.4.2.3.3. E-3. Appropriate personnel trained in one of three fields.

A1.4.2.3.4. E-4. No training in conservation resources fields.

NOTE:

The three conservation resource fields are EIAP, natural resources, and cultural resources. "Training" means completion of at least one course in each field, normally at least 5 days in duration. HQ AMC/CEVP maintains and provides to the wings a list of approved training and education courses that satisfy this criteria. "Appropriate" means the person directly responsible for the program has received the training.

A1.4.2.4. CURRENCY OF AIR INSTALLATION COMPATIBLE USE ZONE (AICUZ) PLAN AND NOISE CONTOUR MAPS

A1.4.2.4.1. E-1. AICUZ is current and validated by HQ AMC/CEP.

A1.4.2.4.2. E-2. AICUZ more than 24 months old and under revision.

A1.4.2.4.3. E-3. AICUZ more than 30 months old and under revision.

A1.4.2.4.4. E-4. AICUZ more than 24 months old and not under revision.

A1.4.2.5. OBLIGATING CONSERVATION FUNDS. See Table A1.3.

Table A1.3. Obligating Conservation Funds.

	<u>1st Qtr</u>	<u>2d Qtr</u>	<u>3d Qtr</u>	<u>4th Qtr</u>
E-1	≥30%	≥60%	≥90%	100%
E-2	20-29%	50-59%	75-89%	99%
E-3	10-19%	40-49%	50-74%	98%
E-4	<10%	<40%	<50%	<98%

NOTE: To rate E-1, no less than 30 % of the overall program should be obligated in each of the first three quarters and no more than 10% of the program left to the last quarter. Calculate the obligation rate from the HQ AMC/FM reported obligation data at the end of each quarter.

Attachment 2**ESORTS AND WING NARRATIVES**

A2.1. Esorts Narrative: Wings must explain any rating below E-1 or ratings that a commander feels is inaccurate. Wings shall forward these narratives each quarter, along with the ESORTS ratings, to HQ AMC/CE. Narratives shall follow the rating presentation order: Restoration, compliance, pollution prevention, and conservation. Use the following format:

A2.2. Wing Narrative for Restoration, Compliance, Pollution Prevention, or Conservation Program

A2.2.1. Commander's Assessment Pillar Challenge. Use this paragraph to tell the story of why the pillar rating should not equal the lowest program rating. Include a projected get-well date.

A2.2.2. Program Ratings. Wing commander should explain all program ratings below E-1 and include a projected get-well date.

A2.2.2.1. Name (e.g., Eliminating OEAs) - ESORTS Rating (e.g., E-2)

A2.2.2.2. Problem: (State simply and concisely)

A2.2.2.3. Corrective Action: (Give milestones, costs, project numbers, if applicable)

A2.2.2.4. Get-Well Date: (Projected get-well date to an E-1 rating)

A2.2.3. Contributing Ratings. Wing commander should explain all contributing ratings below E-1 and include a projected get-well date.

A2.2.3.1. Criteria Name (e.g., Obligating O&S Funds) - ESORTS Rating (e.g., E-3)

A2.2.3.2. Problem: (State simply and concisely)

A2.2.3.3. Corrective Action: (Give milestones, costs, project numbers, if applicable)

A2.2.3.4. Get-Well Date: (Projected get-well date to an E-1 rating)

L. DEAN FOX, Brigadier General, USAF
Director, Civil Engineering