

**BY ORDER OF THE COMMANDER
AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND**



**AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND
INSPECTION CHECKLIST 10-8**

1 APRIL 2004

Operations

ICBM EWO OPERATIONS

NOTICE: This publication is available digitally on the AFDPO WWW site at:
<http://www.e-publishing.af.mil>

OPR: XONN (Maj Tracy G. Patton)
Supersedes AFSPCCL10-8, 1 Mar 00.

Certified by: XON (Col Lloyd H. Keeton, Jr.)
Pages: 3
Distribution: F

This checklist reflects command requirements for units to prepare for and conduct internal reviews.

SUMMARY OF REVISIONS

This checklist has been revised to update references and inspection philosophy. A bar (|) indicates a revision from the previous edition.

1. References are provided for each item. Critical items have been kept to a minimum and are related to public law, safety, security, fiscal responsibility, and/or mission accomplishment.
2. This publication establishes a baseline checklist. The Command IG will also use the checklist during applicable assessments. Use the attached checklist as a guide only. AFSPC Checklists will not be supplemented. Units may produce their own standalone checklists as needed to ensure an effective and thorough review of unit programs. Units are encouraged to contact the Command Functional OPR of this Checklist to recommend additions and changes deemed necessary. **See Attachment 1.**

DOUGLAS M. FRASER, Brig Gen, USAF
Director of Air and Space Operations

ATTACHMENT 1

ICBM EWO OPERATIONS

Table A1.1. Checklist.

SECTION 1: TARGETING ACTIONS AND MATERIALS.			
MISSION STATEMENT: Implement and maintain the ICBM EWO operations program and ensure targeting materials are accurate and consistent with the taskings from USSTRATCOM.			
NOTE: All References are from AFSPCI10-901V1 (S), <i>ICBM EWO Operations</i> (U), unless otherwise noted.			
1.1. ICBM EWO PLANS CRITICAL ITEMS:	YES	NO	N/A
1.1.1. Does OSE have the Priority Maintenance Listing (PML) and has OSE provided the sortie generation sequence information contained in the PML to the unit Maintenance Operations Flight? (ref para 2.3)			
1.1.2. Are the documents/materials/information in Attachment 3 located in the LCCs, as applicable? (ref attachment 2)			
1.1.3. Does OSE ensure changed cases are not input to an operational MCG or HDA until receipt of a JPIC, geodetic update, FDM, or an abort resolution message? (ref para 3.8.5)			
1.1.4. Is unit targeting accurate? (ref para 3.2.1, 3.4, 3.13)			
1.1.5. Are change notifications generated to require MCCs to update OPLAN 8044 and EWO documents at LCCs upon completion of retargeting? (ref para 3.6.4)			
1.1.6. Are appropriate agencies notified of retargeting requirements and effective dates? (ref para 3.6.3)			
1.1.7. Are RDC actions accomplished IAW Table 3-2?			
1.1.8. Does the validation of target/EP cases include a check of the data as directed in Table 3-8?			
1.2. ICBM EWO PLANS NON-CRITICAL ITEMS:	YES	NO	N/A
1.2.1. Are unreadable JPICs handled correctly? (ref para 3.8.3)			
1.2.2. Is a master retargeting workorder prepared for each squadron? (ref para 3.6.5)			
1.2.3. Is OSE meeting the requirement for reproducing TATDs? (ref para 3.5)			
1.2.4. Does the MSORTIE report reflect the correct category codes for sorties removed from or returned to alert? (Table 2.5)			

NON-CRITICAL ITEMS (Con't):	YES	NO	N/A
1.2.5. Are deposing timelines complied with? (Table 2-3, Table 2-5)			
1.2.6. Are sorties declared off alert according to paragraph 2.2 and Table 2-1?			
1.2.7. Does OSE produce a computer-generated format to file CMVCs and does it contain the appropriate information? (ref para 3.13.4)			
1.2.8. Are procedures in place to ensure command post notifies OSE when FDMs are received? (ref para 3.9.2)			
1.2.9. Does OSE send effective DTG change requests to TAB and info DOME? (ref para 3.8.2)			
1.2.10. Are cases and appropriate retargeting instructions provided for each LCC within the squadron after target/EP case validation? (ref para 3.8.8)			
1.2.11. Is the Commander's EWO Briefing presented to the commander and designated staff members in a timely manner? (ref para 1.4.7.7)			
1.2.12. Does the Commander's EWO Briefing highlight significant changes in command and control EWO procedures from the previous OPLAN 8044 revision? (ref para 1.4.7.7)			
1.2.13. Are generation priorities followed for sorties in modification or test programs? (ref Table 2-2)			
1.2.14. Are unit requests to change sortie category codes coordinated with OSE and submitted to DOME? (ref para 2.4)			
1.2.15. Is a report submitted IAW paragraph 3.19 for targeting/hardware conditions that require CDAP?			
1.2.16. Are unit retarget completion messages submitted after retargeting actions are complete when required? (ref para 3.18)			
1.2.17. Do EWO Plans officers monitor operational mission and EWO impacts relative to: the status of assigned ICBMs; unit SACCS interconnectivity for retargeting purposes; and, LCC launch and RDC capability? (ref para 1.4.9)			